FBI WASH DC

FBI MEMPHIS
523PM 4-7-69 URGENT MEN
TO DIRECTOR 44-38861
FROM MEMPHIS 44-1987

Non G

MURKIN

MEMPHIS PRESS-SCIMITAR IN FINAL EDITION, MONDAY, APRIL SEVEN, CARRIED HEADLINE "RAY"S ATTORNEYS ASK NEW TRIAL." ARTICLE STATES THAT NEW DEFENSE COUNSEL FOR JAMES EARL RAY THIS AFTERNOON FILED AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL, CHARGING THAT RAY'S GUILTY PLEA WAS "A FARCE, A SHAM, AND A MOCKERY OF JUSTICE." THE THREE PAGE MOTION WAS SUBMITTED TO CRIMINAL COURT CLERK'S OFFICE BY RICHARD J. RYAN, J. B. STONER, AND ROBERT W. HILL, JR., RAY'S ATTORNEYS. ACCORDING TO ARTICLE RAY CHARGES THERE WAS CONFLICT OF INTEREST BETWEEN HIM AND HIS TWO PRIOR ATTORNEYS, ARTHUR J. HANES AND PERCY FOREMAN, OVER AN AGREEMENT WHICH GAVE ALABAMA AUTHOR WILLIAM BRADFORD HUIE EXCLUSIVE LITERARY RIGHTS TO RAY'S STORY. RAY REPORTEDLY DE-CLARED IN HIS MOTION THAT HANES AND FOREMAN ACTUALLY REPRESENTED WILLIAM BRADFORD HUIE. THE PETITION CONTINUED THAT IF RAY HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO TAKE THE WITNESS STAND THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN NO STORY OR MOVIES TO SELL AND THERE WOULD BE NO PROFITS FOR THOSE PARTIES. 66APK1 6 1969

PAGE TWO

COPY OF MOTION AND ITS EXHIBITS BEING SECURED AND WILL BE SUBMITTED AIR MAIL SPECIAL DELIVERY.

ASST. ATTORNEY GENERAL JAMES BEASLEY, WHO WAS ONE OF PROSECUTORS IN INSTANT CASE, ADVISED THAT HE HAD JUST RECEIVED COPY OF MOTION AND HAD NOT HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO STUDY MOTION AS YET. BEASLEY SAID THAT HE WAS NOT SURPRISED THAT MOTION WAS FILED AS THIS IS NOT UNUSUAL PROCEDURE IN CRIMINAL CASES. BEASLEY ADVISED HE DOES NOT KNOW ATTORNEY ROBERT W. HILL, JR. HE DOD STATE ONE OF LETTERS IN JUDGE BATTLE'S DESK WAS FROM AN ATTORNEY, ROBERT W. HILL, JR., OF CHATTANOOGA, TENN., WHO HAD OFFERED TO ASSIST JAMES EARL RAY IN HIS TRIAL. P.

C

y.